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1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give some deterministic methods for computing irreducible polynomials over finite fields. Such polynomials provide the field extensions required in several algorithms, such as factoring multivariate polynomials (Chistov & Grigoryev [1982], von zur Gathen [1985a], von zur Gathen & Kaltofen [1985a, 1985b], Lenstra [1985]) and very fast parallel arithmetic of polynomials (Eberly [1984]). The probabilistic polynomial-time methods available for problems such as factoring polynomials (Berlekamp [1967, 1970]) and generating irreducible polynomials (Rabin [1980]) are quite satisfactory in practice. However, it remains a theoretical challenge to find deterministic polynomial-time algorithms for these problems: see Camion [1983] for constructing large irreducible polynomials from small ones, von zur Gathen [1985b] for univariate factoring when $p - 1$ is smooth (assuming ERH), and Kaltofen [1985] for multivariate irreducibility testing.

In Section 2 we collect the basic facts showing that certain (integral) cyclotomic polynomials are either irreducible modulo $p$, or at least have only large irreducible factors. These properties depend on certain conditions that have to hold between the characteristic $p$ and another prime number $q$.

In Sections 3 and 4 we investigate these conditions, show some relations with classical problems in number theory (Fermat’s conjecture and Artin’s conjecture), and state reasonable but unproven conjectures saying that for every $p$ there exists a small $q$ satisfying the conditions. Numerical evidence supports these conjectures, and we prove that the conjectures hold for random primes.

Section 5 applies these conjectures to yield three fast algorithms for constructing irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The most interesting is a deterministic method which, after a preprocessing stage involving $p$ only, produces irreducible polynomials of degree at least $n$ in time ($=\text{number of bit operations}$ proportional to the output size $O(n \log p)$ (in fact, in $O(\log n)$ operations if we do not insist on the dense representation of polynomials). The conjectures (plus ERH) imply that the preprocessing stage can be performed deterministically in $(n \log p)^O(1)$ bit operations.

The unproven conjectures only concern the timing of the algorithm, not the correctness of the output. Furthermore, they only intervene in the preprocessing stage depending on $p$, but not on $n$. 
The irreducible polynomials that we consider in this paper are very easy to compute, in linear time. After learning of the present results, Adleman & Lenstra [1986] proposed different methods for computing irreducible polynomials; their approach avoids the above conjectures, but seems to require more unwieldy (but still polynomial-time) computations in number fields.

2. Cyclotomic polynomials over finite fields

In this section we collect some well-known facts concerning prime numbers \( p \) and \( q \) which guarantee that for any \( k \geq 1 \) the \( q^k \)-th cyclotomic polynomial is either irreducible in \( GF(p^e)[x] \), or that at least each irreducible factor is large. (\( GF(p^e) \) is a field with \( p^e \) elements.)

If \( a, m \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( m \geq 2 \) and \( \gcd(a, m) = 1 \), then the order \( s = \text{ord}_m(a) \) of \( a \) modulo \( m \) is the smallest integer \( t \geq 1 \) such that \( a^t \equiv 1 \mod m \). Then \( s \) is a divisor of \( \phi(m) \), where \( \phi \) is the Euler function, and \( a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \mod m \). \( a \) is called primitive modulo \( m \) if and only if \( s = \phi(m) \). We write \( q^i \mid a \) if and only if \( q \) divides \( a \) exactly \( i \) times, i.e. if \( i \geq 0 \), \( q^i \mid a \), and \( q^{i+1} \not\mid a \). We also write \( a \cdot b = \max\{0, a-b \} \), and \( a \cdot b \cdot c = (a \cdot b) \cdot c \).

**Fact 2.1.** Let \( a, e, i, j, k, q, s \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( q \) prime, \( e, i, k \geq 1 \), \( j \geq 0 \), \( a \not\equiv 0 \mod q \), \( s = \text{ord}_q(a) \), \( q^i \mid a^e - a \), and \( q^j \mid e \).

(i) If \( q \geq 3 \), then \( \text{ord}_q(a^e) = sq^{k-1} / \gcd(e, s) \).

(ii) If \( q \geq 3 \) and \( k \geq 2 \), then the following three properties are equivalent:

\[(2.1) \ a \text{ is primitive modulo } q^k,\]

\[(2.2) \ a \text{ is primitive modulo } q^2,\]

\[(2.3) \ a \text{ is primitive modulo } q, \text{ and } a^q \not\equiv a \mod q^2.\]

(iii) If \( q = 2 \), \( k \geq 3 \), and \( a \) is congruent to 3 or 5 modulo 8, then \( s = 1 \) and \( \text{ord}_2(a^e) = 2^{k-1} - 2 \).

For proofs of these facts, see e.g. Knuth [1981], 3.2.1.2, Theorem C, and Hasse [1980], Part 1, ch.4.

Throughout the paper, \( p \) and \( q \) denote prime numbers. For \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \psi_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) is the \( m \)-th cyclotomic polynomial. For properties of \( \psi_m \), see e.g. Borevich & Shafarevich [1968]. \( \psi_m \) is irreducible and has degree \( \phi(m) \). If \( F \) is a field, then \( \Psi_m, F \in F[x] \) denotes the image of \( \psi_m \) given by the canonical mapping \( \mathbb{Z} \to F \). The cyclotomic polynomials \( \psi_q \) are easy to describe:
Fact 2.2 (Lidl & Niederreiter [1983], Example 2.46). Let $q$ be a prime, $k \geq i \geq 1$. Then
\[
\psi_q = x^{q-1} + x^{q-2} + \cdots + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x],
\]
\[
\psi_q = \psi_q \cdot (x^i + 1).
\]

Fact 2.3 (Lidl & Niederreiter [1983], Theorem 2.47). Let $p, q$ be distinct prime numbers, $e, k \geq 1$ (and $k \geq 3$ if $q = 2$), and $F = GF(p^e)$. Then each irreducible factor of $\Psi_q^{i,F}$ has degree $\operatorname{ord}_q(p^e)$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $p, q, e, k, F$ be as in Fact 2.3, $q$ odd, $s = \operatorname{ord}_q(p)$, and let $i \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$ with $q^i \parallel p^q - p$ and $q^j \parallel e$. Suppose that $g \in F[x]$ is an irreducible factor of $\Psi_q^{i,F}$, and $f = g(x^{q^{k-i}})$. Then
(i) $f$ is irreducible of degree $q^{k-i-j}/\gcd(e, s)$.
(ii) If $p^q \not\equiv p \pmod{q^2}$, then $i = 1$, and $f$ is an irreducible factor of $\Psi_q^{i,F}$ of degree $q^{k-j-1}d_{gg} \geq q^{k-j-1}$.
(iii) If $p$ is primitive modulo $q^2$, and $\gcd(e, (q-1)q) = 1$, then $f = \Psi_q^{i,F}$ is irreducible of degree $(q-1)q^{k-1}$.

Example 2.5. We explain for $q = 3$ the methods that we will propose in Section 5 for computing irreducible polynomials. Let $p \neq 3$ be a prime, $e, k \geq 1$, $F = GF(p^e)$, and $s = \operatorname{ord}_3(p)$. We will consider two cases:

Case 1: $p$ is primitive mod 9 (so that $s = 2$) and $\gcd(e, 9) = 1$. The first condition is equivalent to $p \equiv 2$ or 5 mod 9, and then $\Psi_3^{i,F} = x^{9^{i-1}} + x^{3^{i-1}} + 1 \in F[x]$ is irreducible. (See van Lint [1971], Theorem 1.1.28, for $p = 2, e = 1$.)

Case 2: $p^3 \not\equiv p \pmod{9}$ and $\gcd(e, 3s) = 1$. The first condition is equivalent to $p \equiv 1, 8$ mod 9. The primes not included in Case 1 satisfy $p \equiv 4$ or 7 mod 9. In these two cases, $p \neq 2, s = 1, -3$ is a square modulo $p$, and
\[
\Psi_3^{i,F} = x^2 + x + 1 = (x - (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2)(x - (-1 - \sqrt{-3})/2).
\]
Then the factor
\[
x^{3^{i-1}} - (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2 \in F[x]
\]
of $\Psi_q^{i,F}$ is irreducible.

Example 2.6. We discuss the case $q = 2$ excluded in Corollary 2.4. We let $F = GF(p^e)$, and in view of Fact 2.1(iii), we assume that $2 \not\parallel e$ and $p \equiv 3$ or 5 mod 8. If $p \equiv 5$ mod 8, then $-1$ is a square modulo $p$ and 2 and $-2$ are non-squares. In this case,
$$\Psi_{4,F} = x^2 + 1 = (x - \sqrt{-1})(x + \sqrt{-1}),$$

and the factor

$$x^{2^{k-2}} - \sqrt{-1} \in F[x]$$

of $\Psi_{2^{k},F}$ is irreducible for $k \geq 2$. If $p \equiv 3 \mod 8$, then $-2$ is a square modulo $p$ and $-1$ and $2$ are non-squares. In this case,

$$\Psi_{8,F} = x^4 + 1 = (x^2 + \sqrt{-2} x - 1)(x^2 - \sqrt{-2} x - 1)$$

is an irreducible factorization, and

$$x^{2^{l-2}} + \sqrt{-2} x^{2^{l-3}} - 1 \in F[x]$$

is an irreducible factor of $\Psi_{2^{l},F}$ for $l \geq 3$. (Also, $\Psi_{4,F}$ is irreducible.)

The square roots required in these factorizations can be computed deterministically in polynomial time assuming the ERH (Huang [1985], Schoof [1985]).
3. Numbers of large order modulo $q^l$

Given a prime number $p$, we want to find a small prime number $q$ such that $p$ has large order modulo $q^l$, if $l$ is large. We consider two variants of "large order": order at least $q^{l-1}$ in this section, and maximal order $(q-1)q^{l-1}$ (for $q$ odd) in Section 4.

In this section, a heuristic consideration leads to a conjecture asserting the existence of a small $q$. The conjecture is supported by numerical calculations, and we prove that the conjecture holds for randomly chosen primes. Corollary 2.4(ii) then provides large irreducible polynomials in $GF(p^s)$.

We consider

$$S = \{(p, q); p, q \text{ are prime numbers, } p \neq q, \text{ and either}$$

$$(q \neq 2 \text{ and } p^q \neq p \mod q^2) \text{ or } (q = 2 \text{ and } p \equiv 3 \text{ or } 5 \mod 8)\},$$

and for $p$ prime and $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$s(p) = \min\{q: (p, q) \in S\},$$

$$\sigma(x) = \min\{p: p \text{ prime and } \forall q \leq x (p, q) \notin S\}.$$ 

(If the set defining $s(p)$ is empty, set $s(p) = \infty$.) For $(p, q) \in S$, Corollary 2.4(ii) and Example 2.6 provide large irreducible polynomials in $GF(p^s)[x]$. $s$ and $\sigma$ are inverse functions in the following sense:

$$\forall p, x (p < \sigma(x) \iff \forall p' \leq p \ s(p') \leq x). \quad (3.1)$$

Also, $\sigma$ is monotonically increasing.

In Section 5 we will want $s(p)$ to be small, say $O(\log p)$, or equivalently, $\sigma(q)$ to be large, say $\sigma(q) = \exp(\Omega(q))$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $q$ be an odd prime.

(i) Let $1 \leq a < q^2$, and $a \equiv b \mod q$ with $1 \leq b < q$. Then

$$a^q \equiv a \mod q^2 \iff a \equiv b^q \mod q^2.$$  

(ii) There are exactly $q-1$ numbers $a$ such that $1 \leq a < q^2$, $q \nmid a$ and $a^q \equiv a \mod q^2$.

**Proof.** (i) Let $a = b + cq$ with $1 \leq b < q$, $0 \leq c < q$ be the $q$-adic expansion of $a$. Then

$$a^q = (b + cq)^q = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq q} \binom{q}{i} b^{q-i} (cq)^i \equiv b^q + qb^{q-1}c \equiv b^q \mod q^2.$$
Hence

\[ a^q \equiv a \mod q^2 \iff a \equiv b^q \mod q^2. \]

(ii) is clear.

For \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), let

\[ \alpha(x) = 2 \cdot \prod_{q \leq x} q^2, \]

where the product is over the prime numbers up to \( x \). By Lemma 3.1, for every odd prime \( q \) we have \( q-1 \) residue classes modulo \( q^2 \) (and 2 residue classes modulo 8, if \( q = 2 \)) which contain prime numbers \( p > q \) such that \( (p, q) \notin \mathbb{S} \). For any prime \( p > x \), the question whether \( (p, q) \notin \mathbb{S} \) for all \( q \leq x \) depends only on the residue class of \( p \) modulo \( \alpha(x) \), and there are

\[ \beta(x) = 2 \prod_{q \leq x} (q-1) \]

residue classes which contain such \( p \)'s. If these residue classes are represented by \( u_1(x), \ldots, u_{\beta(x)}(x) \) with

\[ 1 = u_1(x) < u_2(x) < \cdots < u_{\beta(x)}(x) < \alpha(x) < u_{\beta(x)+1}(x) = \alpha(x)+1, \]

then the average gap between \( u_i(x) \) and \( u_{i+1}(x) \) is

\[ \gamma(x) = \frac{\alpha(x)}{\beta(x)} = \prod_{q \leq x} \frac{q^2}{q-1}. \]

Note that \( u_2(q) \leq \sigma(q) \); in Remark 3.4 we give the \( u_i(q) \leq \sigma(q) \) for \( q \leq 13 \).

We now conjecture that, in a logarithmic sense, \( \sigma(x) \) (which is larger than the first gap \( u_2(x) - 1 \)) is not much smaller than the average gap \( \gamma(x) \).

**Conjecture C**. There exist \( c, N > 0 \) such that for all \( x \geq N \)

\[ c \cdot \log \gamma(x) \leq \log \sigma(x). \] (3.2)

For polynomial-time algorithms in Section 5, \( \log \sigma(x) = (\log \gamma(x))^{O(1)} \) would suffice. Apart from the heuristic, we give three arguments supporting the conjecture: Table 1 shows that it holds for \( x \leq 23 \), with \( c = 0.98 \), Theorem 3.6 shows that it holds for random primes, and a conjecture by Murata [1981] essentially implies \( C \) (Proposition 3.8). The following lemma gives the order of magnitude conjectured for \( \log \sigma(x) \). ("log" always means natural logarithm in this paper.)

**Lemma 3.2.** For \( x > 1 \)

\[ x - \frac{x}{\log x} < \log \gamma(x) < x + \frac{x}{\log x}. \]
Proof. For the upper bound on \( \log \gamma(x) \), we have

\[
\log \gamma(x) = \log \prod_{q \leq x} q + \log \prod_{q \leq x} \frac{q}{q-1} \leq x + \frac{x}{2 \log x} + \log(\epsilon^C \cdot \log x \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{\log^2 x}))
\]

for \( x > 1 \), by Rosser & Schoenfeld [1962], (3.15) and (3.30), where \( C \leq 0.57722 \) is Euler's constant. It is sufficient to show that

\[
C + \log \log x + \log(1 + \frac{1}{\log^2 x}) \leq \frac{x}{2 \log x}
\]

for \( x \geq 7 \), since the lemma is easily checked for \( 1 < x < 7 \). One verifies that

\[
6C \log x \leq x,
\]

\[
3 \cdot \log x \cdot \log \log x \leq x,
\]

\[
12 \cdot \log(1 + \frac{1}{\log^2 x}) \cdot \log x \leq 12 \cdot \log(1 + \frac{1}{\log^2 x}) \log x \leq x,
\]

for \( x \geq 7 \). Together we get

\[
C + \log \log x + \log(1 + \frac{1}{\log^2 x}) \leq \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{x}{2 \log x}
\]

One easily checks the lower bound for \( x < 41 \), and for \( x \geq 41 \)

\[
\log \gamma(x) \geq \log \prod_{q \leq x} q \geq x - \frac{x}{\log x},
\]

by Rosser and Schoenfeld [1962], (3.16).

Proposition 3.3. Conjecture \( C_1 \) holds if and only if there exist \( c', N' > 0 \) such that for all \( y \geq N' \) we have

\[
\forall p \leq y \quad s(p) \leq c' \log y.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

Proof. Let \( c, N \) be such that (3.2) holds for all \( x \geq N \). We assume that \( N \geq e^2 \).

Set \( c' = 2/c \), \( N' = \exp(cN/2) \), and let \( y \geq N' \). Set \( x = 2e^{-1} \log y \). Then \( x \geq N \geq e^2 \), and

\[
\sigma(x) \geq \exp(c \log \gamma(x)) > \exp(c (x - \frac{x}{\log x})) \geq \exp(c \frac{x}{2}) = y.
\]

Now let \( p \leq y \) be a prime. Then \( p < \sigma(x) \), and by (3.1),

\[
s(p) \leq x = c' \log y.
\]

For the reverse claim, let \( c', N' > 0 \) be such that (3.3) holds for all \( y \geq N' \). We
assume that \( N' \geq 4 \) and \( c' \geq 2 \); then \( 2c' \log(N'/2) \geq \epsilon \). Set
\[
c = \frac{1}{4c'}, \quad N = 2c' \log \frac{N'}{2},
\]
let \( x \geq N \), and set \( y = 2 \cdot \exp(2cx) \). Then \( y \geq N' \). Let \( p \) be a prime number with \( y/2 \leq p \leq y \); such a prime exists by Bertrand's postulate (Rosser & Schoenfeld [1962], (3.9)). Then
\[
\forall p' \leq p \leq y \quad s(p') \leq c' \log y = c' \log 2 + \frac{x}{2} \leq x.
\]
By (3.1), we have \( p < \sigma(x) \), hence
\[
\log \sigma(x) > \log p > \log \frac{y}{2} = 2cx \geq c \left( x + \frac{x}{\log x} \right) \geq c \log \gamma(x),
\]
using that \( x \geq e \) and Lemma 3.2.

The proof shows that if (3.3) holds with the values \((c',N')\) (and \(N' \geq 4, c' \geq 2\)), then also with \((8c',(N'/2)^{1/4})\); hence also with \((c'(\log N')^{3/2},4)\).

**Remark 3.4.** For \( q \leq 13 \), we list the \( u_i(q) \) with \( 1 \leq u_i(q) \leq \sigma(q) \).
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_2(2) &= \sigma(2) = 7, \quad u_2(3) = \sigma(3) = 17, \\
  u_2(5) &= 143 = 11 \cdot 13 < u_3(5) = \sigma(5) = 199, \\
  u_2(7) &= 1,207 = 17 \cdot 71 < u_3(7) = 2,449 = 31 \cdot 79 < u_4(7) = 3,007 = 31 \cdot 97 < \\
  u_5(7) &= 3,743 = 19 \cdot 197 < u_6(7) = \sigma(7) = 4,049, \\
  u_2(11) &= 1,207 < u_3(11) = 4,607 = 17 \cdot 271 < u_4(11) = 19,601 = 17 \cdot 1153 < \\
  u_5(11) &= 22,049 = 17 \cdot 1297 < u_6(11) = 27,343 = 37 \cdot 739 < \\
  u_7(11) &= 30,007 = 37 \cdot 811 < u_8(11) = 49,607 = 113 \cdot 439 < \\
  u_9(11) &= \sigma(11) = 52,057, \\
  u_2(13) &= \sigma(13) = 132,857.
\end{align*}
\]

Table 1 shows \( x, \sigma(x) \), and rounded values of \( \gamma(x) \) and
\[
\lambda(x) = \frac{\log \sigma(x)}{\log \gamma(x)},
\]
for prime numbers \( x \leq 23 \). (Between two consecutive prime numbers, \( \sigma(x) \) and \( \gamma(x) \) are constant.) Note that \( 0.98 \leq \lambda(x) \leq 1.7 \) for \( x \leq 23 \), so that (3.2) holds for \( 1 < x < 29 \) and \( c = 0.98 \). (The values of \( \sigma(x) \) have passed probabilistic primality tests; the true value of \( \sigma(x) \) is at least the one given here.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$\sigma(x)$</th>
<th>$\gamma(x)$</th>
<th>$\lambda(x)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.9802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>1.1208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4 049</td>
<td>918.8</td>
<td>1.2174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>52 057</td>
<td>111 116.9</td>
<td>1.1657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>132 857</td>
<td>156 562.7</td>
<td>0.9863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4 651 993</td>
<td>2 827 913.0</td>
<td>1.0335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>256 899 943</td>
<td>56 715 365.9</td>
<td>1.0846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1 133 144 266 727 951</td>
<td>1 363 746 751.6</td>
<td>1.6480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.

We now prove that Conjecture $C_1$ holds for random prime numbers: for a random prime $p < e^n$, we have $s(p) < n$ with probability at least $1 - e^{-n/20}$.

As usual, we let $\theta(x) = \sum_{q \leq x} \log q$, so that $\alpha(x) = 2\exp(2\theta(x))$.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$\alpha(x) = 2 \prod_{q \leq z} q^2 < y < x,$$

and let

$$P(x, y, z) = \{p : p \text{ prime, } y \leq p \leq z, x < s(p)\}.$$

Then

$$\#P(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2z}{\exp(\theta(x)) \cdot (\log y - \log(\alpha(x)))}.$$ 

**Proof.** As remarked in Lemma 3.1(ii), there are

$$\beta(x) = 2 \prod_{q \leq z} (q - 1)$$

many residue classes $a$ modulo $\alpha(x)$ such that every $p \in P(x, y, z)$ is congruent to some such $a$. Note that $\phi(\alpha(x)) \beta(x) \exp(\theta(x))$. By the Prime Number Theorem for arithmetic progressions in Montgomery & Vaughan [1973], Theorem 2, we have

$$\#P(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2\beta(x)(z - y)}{\phi(\alpha(x)) \log \left(\frac{y}{\alpha(x)}\right)} \leq \frac{2z}{\exp(\theta(x)) \cdot (\log y - \log(\alpha(x)))}.$$
Theorem 3.6. Let \( z \geq e^2 \). Then for a random prime number \( p \) with \( 2 \leq p \leq z \) we have

\[
\text{prob}(s(p) > \log z) \leq z^{-1/20}.
\]

Proof. We let

\[
\eta(z) = \# \{ p : 2 \leq p \leq z, \ p \text{ prime, and } s(p) > \log z \} \cdot \pi(z)^{-1}
\]

be the probability in question, and prove

\[
\eta(z) \leq z^{-1/20}
\]

by induction on \( \lceil z \rceil \). The inductive step assumes \( z \geq 10^{10} \). The claim was verified for smaller values of \( z \) computationally, as follows. If \( q \) is a prime and \( q^+ \) the next prime, let

\[
\delta(q) = \# \{ p : p < \exp(q^+) \text{ and } q^+ \leq s(p) \}.
\]

Then, if

\[
\delta(q) \leq q^{-1} \exp(q - q^+/20), \tag{3.4}
\]

we have for \( \exp(q) \leq z < \exp(q^+) \)

\[
\text{prob}(s(p) > \log z) = \text{prob}(s(p) \geq q^+) \leq \frac{\delta(q)}{\pi(\exp(q))} \leq \frac{q \delta(q)}{\exp(q)} \leq \exp(-q^+/20) \leq z^{-1/20}.
\]

(3.4) was verified for \( q \leq 19 \), and we now assume \( z \geq 10^{10} \). (For \( e^{23} < 10^{10} \leq z \leq 10^{15} < \sigma(23) \), we have \( \text{prob}(s(p) > \log z) = 0 \).) We denote by \( \epsilon, \lambda, \mu, \nu \) parameters that we will fix later. We let \( y = z^\epsilon, x = \epsilon \lambda \log z \), and assume that the following hold:

\[
\epsilon \lambda \leq 1, \tag{3.5}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \theta(x) \leq \mu x \text{ for } 5 \leq x, \tag{3.6}
\]

\[

\nu x \leq \theta(x) \text{ for } 5 \leq x, \tag{3.7}
\]

\[
y \leq z - 1, \tag{3.8}
\]

\[
113.6 \leq \alpha(x) < y, \tag{3.9}
\]

\[
5 \leq x. \tag{3.10}
\]

Splitting the primes up to \( z \) into those up to \( y \) and those greater than \( y \), we have

\[
\eta(z) \leq \eta(y) \cdot \pi(y) \cdot \pi(z)^{-1} + \# P(x, y, z) \cdot (\pi(z))^{-1}
\]
\[
\leq y^{-1/20} \cdot \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{z^\epsilon}{\epsilon \log z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{\log z}\right)^{-1}
+ \frac{2z}{\exp(\theta(x))} \cdot (\log y - \log(\alpha(x)))
\]
\[
= \frac{5}{4\epsilon} \cdot z^{-\epsilon/20+\epsilon-1} + \frac{2\log z}{\epsilon \log z - \log 2 - 2\theta(x)} \cdot \exp(-\theta(x))
\]
\[
\leq \frac{5}{4\epsilon} \cdot z^{19\epsilon/20-1} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{1-2\lambda \mu} \cdot \exp(-\nu x)
\]
\[
= \frac{5}{4\epsilon} \cdot z^{19\epsilon/20-1} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{1-2\lambda \mu} \cdot z^{-\epsilon \lambda \mu},
\]

where we have used Lemma 3.5 and Rosser & Schoenfeld [1982], (3.5) and (3.7).

Now we use the following values:

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \mu = \frac{14}{13}, \quad \nu = 0.4858 \left(\lt \theta(5)/7\right),
\]

and \(\epsilon\) such that

\[
\frac{19}{20} \epsilon - 1 = -\epsilon \lambda \nu
\]

(so that \(\epsilon \approx 0.89933\ldots\)). We check (3.5) through (3.10): A table shows that (3.6) holds for \(x \leq 695\), and for \(x > 695\), Rosser & Schoenfeld (3.15) implies that

\[
\theta(x) \leq x + \frac{x}{2} \log x \leq x + \frac{x}{13} - \frac{1}{2} \log 2.
\]

Similarly, one verifies (3.7) for \(x \leq 41\), and otherwise uses

\[
\theta(x) \geq x - \frac{x}{\log x} \geq \nu x ,
\]

by Rosser & Schoenfeld (3.16). For the second inequality of (3.9) we have

\[
\alpha(x) = 2\exp(2\theta(x)) \leq \exp(2\mu x) = z^{2\epsilon \lambda \mu} < z^\epsilon = y.
\]

Thus

\[
\eta(x) \leq \left(\frac{5}{4\epsilon} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{1-2\lambda \mu}\right) z^{-\epsilon \lambda \mu} \leq 3.8 \cdot z^{-0.14} \leq z^{-1/20}.
\]

**Example 3.7.** Of the 460 primes \(p\) between 32 633 and \(2^{64}\) in Table 4.5.4-1 of Knuth [1981] (which were certainly not chosen with a view to favoring Conjecture \(C_1\)), 220 \(= 47.83\%\) have \(s(p) = 2\); for random primes, say up to \(2^{64}\), one expects 50\% to have this property. In the table, 159 \(= 34.57\%\) have \(s(p) = 3\) (expected 33.33\%), 67 \(= 14.57\%\) have \(s(p) = 5\) (expected 13.33\%), and the remaining 14 \(= 3.04\%\) have...
s(p) = 7 (expected 2.86%). For all these $p$, $s(p) < \log p$. (0.48% are expected to have $s(p) \geq 11$.)

Rosser [1941] shows the following connection of our set $S$ with Fermat’s conjecture: if $(p, q) \in S$, $q \geq 3$ and $p \leq 43$, then there exist no $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gcd(xyz, q) = 1$ and $x^q + y^q = z^q$.

Consider the set

$F_a(x) = \{q : q \text{ prime}, \, 3 \leq q \leq x, \, q \mid a, \, \text{and } a^q = a \mod q^2\}$

for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. When $p$ is prime, then

$F_p(x) = \{q : q \neq p \text{ prime}, \, 3 \leq q \leq x, \, (p, q) \notin S\}$.

Murata [1981], Theorem 1, implies that for $x \geq 286$ and a random integer $a$ between 2 and $x^4$

$$\text{prob}(\#F_a(x) > 2 \log \log x) \leq 2(\log \log x)^{-k}.$$  

Since $2 \log \log x < \pi(x)$ for $x \geq 2$, this implies that

$$\text{prob}(\forall q \leq 2 \log \log x, \, p^q = p \mod q^2)) \leq 2(\log \log x)^{-k}.$$  

Compared with Theorem 3.6, this yields a smaller bound on the smallest $q$, but the probability decreases much slower. Murata also conjectures that

$$\#F_a(x) \sim D_a \log \log x$$

for large $x$, with a constant $D_a$ depending on $a$. We show that with small $D_a$ (say, $D_a = O(\log a)$), the upper bound would essentially imply Conjecture $C_1$.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let $p$ be a prime, and $D \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall x \geq 3 \quad \#F_p(x) \leq D \log \log x,$$

and assume that $D \geq 8$. Then

$$s(p) \leq 4D \log D \log \log D.$$  

**Proof.** Let $x = 4D \log D \log \log D$. Then

$$\log x \leq \log D + \log(4 \log D \log \log D) \leq 2 \log D,$$

$$\log \log x \leq \log 2 + \log \log D \leq 2 \log \log D.$$  

Thus

$$D = \frac{x}{2 \log D \cdot 2 \log \log D} \leq \frac{x}{\log x \log \log x} < \frac{\pi(x)}{\log \log x}$$

by Rosser and Schoenfeld [1962], (3.5). Now
\[ \pi(x) > D \log \log x \geq \#F_p(x) \]

implies that \( s(p) \leq x \).
4. Primitive elements modulo $q^k$

This section parallels the previous one, now with a view to applying Corollary 2.4(iii). Thus we consider

$$T = \{ (p, q) : p, q \text{ prime, } q \geq 3, \text{ and } p \text{ is primitive modulo } q^2 \},$$

and for $p$ prime and $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$t(p) = \min \{ q : (p, q) \in T \}.$$  

$$\tau(x) = \min \{ p : p \text{ prime, and } \forall q \leq x (p, q) \notin T \},$$

Then

$$\forall p, x (p < \tau(x) \iff \forall p' \leq p \exists (p, q) \notin T). \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.1. Let $q \geq 3$ be prime. There are exactly $(q - 1)(q - \phi(q - 1))$ integers $a$ such that $1 \leq a < q^2$, $q \nmid a$, and $a$ is not primitive modulo $q^2$.

Proof. Using Fact 2.1(ii) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\# \{ a : 1 \leq a < q^2, q \nmid a, \text{ and } a \text{ not primitive mod } q^2 \}$$

$$= \# \{ a : 1 \leq a < q^2, q \nmid a, \text{ a not primitive mod } q \}$$

$$+ \# \{ a : 1 \leq a < q^2, q \nmid a, \text{ a primitive mod } q, \text{ and } a^q \equiv a \text{ mod } q^2 \}$$

$$= q \cdot (q - 1 - \phi(q - 1)) + \phi(q - 1) = (q - 1)(q - \phi(q - 1)).$$

Remark 4.2. The numerical evidence exhibited below indicates that the direct ana logue of Conjecture $C_1$ is probably false. The ana logue would state that

$$c \cdot \log \gamma^*(x) \leq \log \tau(x),$$

where

$$\gamma^*(x) = \prod_{3 \leq r \leq x} \frac{q^2}{(q - 1)(q - \phi(q - 1))}.$$  

However, using

$$\phi(q - 1) \geq \frac{q - 1}{\log \log(q - 1)} \geq \frac{q}{2 \log \log q} \geq \frac{q}{2 \log \log x}$$

for $q \leq x$ and $x$ large enough, it follows that asymptotically

$$\log \gamma^*(x) = \Omega(x / (\log x \log \log x)),$$

but $\log(\tau(x))$ seems to grow much slower.
Numerical evidence suggests the following conjecture.

**Conjecture** $C_2$. There exists $c, N > 0$ such that for all $x \geq N$

$$cx^2 \leq \tau(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

**Proposition 4.3.** Conjecture $C_2$ holds if and only if there exist $c', N' > 0$ such that for all $y \geq N'$

$$\forall p < y \quad t(p) \leq c' y^{1/2}. $$ \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

**Proof.** Taking $c$ and $N$ satisfying $C_2$, we set $N' = cN^2$ and $c' = c^{-1/2}$. Then for $y \geq N'$, we set $x = c' y^{1/2} \geq N$. For any $p < y$ we have $p < y = cx^2 \leq \tau(x)$, hence $t(p) \leq x$ by (4.1).

For the reverse implication, we set $c = (c')^{-2}$ and $N = c' (N')^{1/2}$. Then if $x \geq N$, we let $y = cx^2 \geq N'$. For any prime $p < y$, $t(p) \leq c' y^{1/2} = x$, and hence $\tau(x) \geq y = cx^2$.

Conjecture $C_2$ has the following relation with Artin’s conjecture. Set

$$T^* = \{(a, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2; q \geq 3 \text{ is prime, and } a \text{ is primitive modulo } q\},$$

and for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$T^*_a = \{q : (a, q) \in T^*\},$$

$$t^*(a) = \min T^*_a,$$

with $t^*(a) = \infty$ if $T^*_a = \emptyset$; e.g. when $a$ is a perfect square. For a prime $p$, $t^*(p) \leq t(p)$. Artin’s conjecture gives the following density for $T^*_a$:

$$\# \{q \in T^*_a : q \leq x\} \sim C(a) \pi(x),$$

where $C(a)$ is a constant depending on $a$, and $a$ is neither -1 nor a perfect square. Hooley [1967] proves this conjecture, assuming the ERH. Let

$$C = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}) = 0.3739558....$$
Then for a prime \( p \), Hooley's result is

\[
C(p) = \begin{cases} 
C & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \mod 4, \\
C \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{p^2 - p - 1}) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 4.
\end{cases}
\]

For Table 2, the 27 prime numbers \( q \) up to 103 were examined. For the given ranges of \( x \), the table contains ranges of \( \delta(x) = \frac{\tau(x)}{x^2} \). Note that Conjecture \( C_2 \) states that \( \delta(x) = \Omega(1) \). E.g., the last line of Table 2 implies that for all primes \( p \leq 80 \cdot 103^2 \) we have \( t(p) \leq p^k \). In fact, \( t(109) = 13 \), and for all other primes \( p \) considered, i.e. \( 109 \leq p \leq 9 \cdot 188 \cdot 941 = \tau(103) \), we have \( t(p) \leq p^k \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( 1 \leq \delta(x) \leq 12 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( 19 \leq x \leq 50 )</td>
<td>5 \leq \delta(x) \leq 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 50 \leq x \leq 79 )</td>
<td>80 \leq \delta(x) \leq 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 79 \leq x \leq 103 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.

Again, the conjecture holds for random primes.

**Theorem 4.4.** For \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) sufficiently large, and \( p \) a random prime not larger than \( x \), we have

\[
\text{prob}(t(p) > x^k) = O(x^{-k}(\log x)^{7/5}).
\]

**Proof.** We have

\[
\text{prob}(t(p) > x^k) \leq \text{prob}(t^*(p) > x^k) + \text{prob}(s(p) > x^k).
\]

Warlimont [1972] proves that for large \( x \)

\[
\# \{a \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \leq a \leq x \text{ and } t^*(a) > x^k \} = O(x^k(\log x)^{2/5}),
\]

so that the first summand above is

\[
O\left(\frac{x^k(\log x)^{2/5}}{\pi(x)}\right) = O(x^{-k}(\log x)^{7/5}).
\]

For the second summand, it is sufficient to consider a single prime \( q \) with \( x^k/2e \leq q \leq x^k/e \). It follows from Lemma 3.1(ii) and Montgomery & Vaughan [1973], Theorem 2, that
\# \{ p : q < p \leq z \text{ and } (p, q) \in S \} \leq \frac{2z}{\phi(q^2) \log(z/q^2)} (q-1) \leq \frac{2z}{q/2} \leq 8ez^k,

so that a coarse estimate is

\[ \text{prob}(\sigma(p) > z^k) \leq (\pi(q) + 8ez^k)/\pi(z) = O(z^{-1} \log z). \]

**Example 4.5.** All 460 primes considered in Example 3.7 have \( t(p) \leq 41 \leq p^k. \)
5. Computing irreducible polynomials

We show how to find irreducible polynomials of large degree over finite fields fast, assuming the conjectures of sections 3 and 4.

The sum, product, quotient and remainder, and gcd of two polynomials in \( F[x] \) of degree at most \( d \) can be computed with \( O(d \log d) \) operations in \( F = \mathbb{Z}_p[t]/(h) = GF(p^d) \) (Aho, Hopcroft & Ullman [1974]). Similarly, an operation \((+, \cdot, \div)\) in \( F \) can be performed in \( O(e \log e) \) operations in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), and an operation in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) in \( O(\log p \log p \log \log p) \) bit operations. Here we assume the standard representations of \( f \in F[x] \) by its coefficients, \( a \in F \) by \((a_0, \ldots, a_{e-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^e \) such that \( a = (\sum_{0 \leq i < e} a_i t^i \mod h) \), and of \( b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) by the binary representation of \( b^* \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( b = (b^* \mod p) \) and \( 0 \leq b^* < p \).

If \( F \) is a field, then \( M_F : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is such that the product of two \( n \times n \)-matrices over \( F \) can be computed in \( O(M_F(n)) \) operations in \( F \). We can choose \( M_F(n) = n^{2.496} < n^{2.5} \) (Coppersmith and Winograd [1982]). In order to be able to neglect logarithmic terms, we use the following notation (generalizing Definition 6.4 in von zur Gathen [1985a]).

**Definition 5.1.** Let \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( s, t : \mathbb{N}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Then \( s = O^*(t) \) if and only if there exist \( k, m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
\forall n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq m \quad s(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \leq t(n_1, \ldots, n_r)(\log_2(2 + t(n_1, \ldots, n_r)))^k.
\]

Thus \( s = O^*(t) \) if and only if \( s = O(t \cdot \log(2+t)^k) \) for some \( k \).

We will use the following well-known facts.

**Fact 5.2.** Let \( p \) be a prime number, \( d \geq 1 \), and \( F = \mathbb{Z}_p \).

(i) If \( f \in F[x] \) has degree \( d \), then the irreducible factorization of \( f \) can be computed by a deterministic algorithm with \( O^*(M_F(d) + pd^2) \) operations in \( F \).

(ii) If \( f \in F[x] \) has degree \( d \), then the irreducible factorization of \( f \) can be computed by a probabilistic (Las Vegas) algorithm with an expected number of \( O^*(d^3 \log p) \) operations in \( F \), and \( O(d \log p) \) random bit choices.

(iii) If \( d \geq 1 \), then an irreducible monic polynomial in \( F[x] \) of degree \( d \) can be found by a probabilistic algorithm with an expected number of \( O^*(d^3 \log p) \) operations in \( F \), and \( O(d \log p) \) random bit choices.

(iv) If \( p = 2 \) and \( n \geq 1 \), then an irreducible polynomial of degree \( d \) with \( n \leq d \leq 3n \) can be computed deterministically with \( O(n) \) bit operations.
(v) If we assume an appropriate Extended Riemann Hypothesis (ERH), then any cyclotomic polynomial in $F[x]$ can be factored in deterministic polynomial time.

**Proof.** The algorithms proving these facts go back to Berlekamp [1967, 1970], see also Knuth [1981], 4.6.2, and Cantor & Zassenhaus [1981]. (ii) and (iii) are in Rabin [1980]. For (iv), see Example 2.5. (v) is proven in Huang [1985].

The algorithms extend easily to non-prime finite fields; see Cantor & Zassenhaus [1981] and von zur Gathen [1984].

**Fact 5.3.** Let $F = GF(p^e)$, and $f \in F[x]$ of degree $d$. Then an irreducible factor of $f$ can be found with the following number of operations in $Z_p$.

(i) With $O(M_{Z_{p^e}}(de) + pd^2 e \log(e + 1) \log p)$ or $O^*(d^{2.5} + d^2 e \log p)$ operations by a deterministic algorithm.

(ii) With an expected number $O(M(de) + d^2 e \log d \log(e + 1) \log p)$ or $O^*((d^2)^{2.5} + d^2 e \log p)$ operations by a Las Vegas algorithm.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let $p$ be a prime number.

(i) If Conjecture $C_1$ holds, then one can find a prime number $q$ such that $(p, q) \in S$ in $O^*(\log^2 p)$ bit operations.

(ii) If Conjecture $C_2$ holds, then one can find a prime number $q$ such that $(p, q) \in T$ in $O^*(p^{3/4})$ bit operations.

**Proof.** (i) For any integer $q \geq 3$, one can test whether $(p, q) \in S$, i.e., whether $q$ is prime, $p \not\equiv q$ and $p^q \equiv p \mod q^2$, in

$$O(q^2 \log^2 q + \log p \cdot \log q + \log q \cdot \log^2 q)$$

bit operations. The first summand is for a trivial deterministic primality test, the second for $u = p \mod q^2$ with $1 \leq u \leq q^2$, and the third for $u^q \mod q^2$ (Aho, Hopcroft & Ullman [1974]). For $q = 2$, one can test $p \equiv 3$ or $5 \mod 8$ in $O(\log p)$ bit operations. Under Conjecture $C_1$, there exists $q$ such that $(p, q) \in S$ and $q = O(\log p)$ (Proposition 3.3), and the total cost for finding the smallest such $q$ is $O^*(\log^2 p)$.

(ii) For $q \geq 3$, one can test whether $(p, q) \in T$ with the cost as above, plus the cost for testing whether $p$ is primitive modulo $q$. This can be done by computing the distinct prime factors $q_1, \ldots, q_r$ of $q - 1$ in $O^*(q^2)$ bit operations (by a trivial factoring algorithm) and testing whether

$$p^{(q-1)/q_i} \not\equiv 1 \mod q$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$, in $O(\log^2 q)$ bit operations. Under Conjecture $C_2$, there exists a
\[ q = O(p^k) \] with \((p, q) \in T\), and the total cost for finding the smallest such \( q \) is \( O^*(p^{3/4}) \).

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( p \) be a prime number, \( e > n \geq 1 \), and \( F = GF(p^e) \). Then one can find an irreducible polynomial in \( F[x] \) of some degree \( d \) such that \( n \leq d \) by deterministic algorithms of the following running times.

(i) If Conjecture \( C_1 \) and ERH hold, with \((e \log p)^{O(1)} + O(n e \log^3 p) \) bit operations; then \( d = O(n \log^2 p) \).

(ii) If Conjecture \( C_1 \) holds, with \( O^*(e^5 + e^3 p + n e \log^2 p) \) bit operations; then \( d = O(n \log^2 p) \).

(iii) If Conjecture \( C_2 \) holds and \( e = 1 \), with \( O^*(p^{3/4} + p^k n) \) bit operations; then \( d = O(p^{1/2} n) \).

**Proof.** For (i), find \( q \) with \((p, q) \in T\) and \( q = O(\log p) \) as in Lemma 5.4(ii). If \( q \) is odd, let \( s = \text{ord}_q(p) \) and \( q^j || e \). Use Fact 5.2(v) to compute an irreducible factor \( g \in F[x] \) of \( \Psi_{q^{-1},F} \) in \((e \log p)^{O(1)} \) bit operations, since \( \deg\Psi_{q^{-1},F} = (q-1)q^j = O(e \log p) \). Set \( k = j + 1 + [\log_q n] \), compute \( f = g(x^{q^k - 1}) \in F[x] \) in \( O(n e \log^2 p) \) bit operations, and return \( f \). Then \( f \) is irreducible by Corollary 2.4(ii), and

\[ n \leq q^{k-j-1} \leq \deg f = s q^{k-j-1}/\gcd(e, s) \leq (q-1)q^{k-j-1} \leq q^2 n = O(n \log^2 p). \]

If \( q = 2 \) and \( 2 \nmid e \), use the factorizations of \( \Psi_{4,F} \) or \( \Psi_{8,F} \) and appropriate substitutions, as in Example 2.6. If \( 2^j \mid e \), then factorizations of \( \Psi_{2^{j+3},F} \) are sufficient.

For (ii), find \( q, k, j \) as in (i), and use Fact 5.3(i) to compute an irreducible factor \( g \) of \( \Psi_{q^{-1},F} \), with \( O^*(e^5 \log p + e^3 \log^2 p \cdot p) \) or \( O^*(e^5 + e^3 p) \) bit operations. Then proceed as in (i).

For (iii), use Lemma 5.4(ii) to find \( q \) with \( q = O(p^k) \) and \((p, q) \in T\) and set \( k = 1 + [\log_q n / (q-1)] \). By Corollary 2.4(iii), \( \Psi_{q^{-1},F} \in F[x] \) is irreducible of degree \( d = (q-1)^q k^{-1} \), and

\[ n \leq d \leq q n = O(p^{1/2} n). \]

**Remark 5.6.** Assuming the ERH, it follows from the proof in Hooley [1967] that most \( q \leq x \) for which \( p \) fails to be primitive are such that \( p^{(q-1)/q_i} \equiv 1 \mod q \) for a prime divisor \( q_i \leq \frac{1}{6} \log x \) of \( q-1 \). If this asymptotic density result already applies to small values of \( x \), then in the search for \( q \) with \( p \) primitive modulo \( q \) one will only rarely have to compute large prime factors of \( q - 1 \).
One advantage of the present method lies in the fact that given \( F = GF(p^e) \) with a prime \( p \), one only has to find some \( q \) with \((p, q) \in S\) resp. \((p, q) \in T\) (and \( \gcd(e, (q-1)q) = 1 \)) and in order to find irreducible polynomials over \( F \) of arbitrarily large degree. The computation of such a \( q \) (and the factorization of \( \Psi_{q^j+1,F} \) if \((p, q) \in S\) and \( q^j \mid e \)) may be considered as a preprocessing stage, and e.g. for the computational model of \( P \)-uniform arithmetic networks over \( F \), one can hard-wire such a \( q \).

**Corollary 5.7.** If preprocessing depending on \( p \) is allowed for free and Conjecture \( C_1 \) holds, then one can find an irreducible polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}_p[x] \) of degree at least \( n \) with \( O(n \log p) \) bit operations by a deterministic algorithm.

The computing time for Corollary 5.7 is proportional to the dense output size. This is the appropriate model if later on one wants to compute in the field \( F[x]/(f) \). However, one may also consider more succinct representations such as sparse, formulas or straight-line programs. (See von zur Gathen [1985a] for such representations for multivariate polynomials.) If the representation by a straight-line program is allowed, then the simple expression given by Fact 2.2 shows that Corollary 5.7 holds even with \( O(\log n + \log \log p) \) bit operations.

For practical purposes, the easiest implementation might be a deterministic search for \( q \) with \((p, q) \in S\) and a Las Vegas computation of an irreducible factor \( g \) of \( \Psi_{q^j+1,F} \) as preprocessing stages (where \( q^j \mid e \)), which then yields an irreducible polynomial \( g(x^{q^k}) \in GF(p^e)[x] \) for any \( k \geq 0 \).

For the applications mentioned in the Introduction, one might actually just find the smallest \( q \) with \((p, q) \in S\), and then work in the extension ring \( R = F[x]/(\Psi_{q^k,F}) \) for sufficiently large \( k \). If during the computation in \( R \) a division by a zero-divisor is attempted, this will provide a factorization \( g_1g_2 = \Psi_{q^k,F} \). Then the computation is continued in \( R/(g_i) \) for \( i = 1 \) or \( i = 2 \). This approach will save the cost of factoring.
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