VALUES OF POLYNOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS

JOACHIM VON ZUR GATHEN

Let q be a prime power, \mathbf{F}_q a field with q elements, $f \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ a polynomial of degree $n \ge 1$, $V(f) = \#f(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the number of different values f(a) of f, with $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and $\rho = q - V(f)$. It is shown that either $\rho = 0$ or $4n^4 > q$ or $2\rho n > q$. Hence, if q is "large" and f is not a permutation polynomial, then either n or ρ is "large".

Possible cryptographic applications have recently rekindled interest in permutation polynomials, for which $\rho = 0$ in the notation of the abstract (see Lidl and Mullen [10]). There is a probabilistic test for permutation polynomials using an essentially linear (in the input size $n \log q$) number of operations in \mathbf{F}_q (von zur Gathen [5]). There are rather few permutation polynomials: a random polynomial in $\mathbf{F}_{a}[x]$ of degree less than $\frac{d}{dq}$ is a permutation polynomial with probability $q!/q^q$, or about e^{-q} . For cryptographic applications, we think of q as being exponential, about 2^N , in some input size parameter ${}^{2}N$; then this probability is doubly exponentially small: $e^{-2^{N}}$.

In the hope of enlarging the pool of suitable polynomials, one can relax the notion of "permutation polynomial" by allowing a few, say polynomially many in N, values f of \mathbf{F}_q not to be images of $f: \rho = N^{O(1)}$. There is a probabilistic test for this property, whose expected number of operations is essentially linear in $n\rho \log q$ (von zur Gathen [35]). The purpose of this note is to show that this relaxation does not include new Examples with q large and n, ρ small: if $\rho \neq 0$, then either $+4n^4 > q$ or $2\rho n > q$ (Corollary 2 (ii)).

The theorem below provides quantitative versions of results of Williams [15], Wan [14], and others, which we now first state. As an application, we will show that a naïve probabilistic polynomial-time test for permutation polynomials has a good chance of success; this could not be concluded from the previous less quantitative versions.

If $p = \operatorname{char} \mathbf{F}_q$, then $a \mapsto a^p$ is a bijection of \mathbf{F}_q . If $f = g(x^p)$ for some $g \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$, then V(f) = V(g), and, in particular, f is a permutation polynomial if and only if g

Suzzania Suz This work was partly supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada,

is. Replacing f by g (and repeating this process if necessary) we may therefore assume that f is not a pth power, that is, that $f' \neq 0$. Then f is called *separable*. We consider the difference polynomial

$$f^* = rac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, y],$$

and the number σ of absolutely irreducible (that is, irreducible over an algebraic closure of \mathbf{F}_q) factors in a complete factorisation of f^* into irreducible factors in $\mathbf{F}_q[x, y]$. We call f exceptional if $\sigma = 0$. Any linear f is exceptional.

FACTS. Let $f \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ be separable of degree n.

- (i) (MacCluer [12], Williams [16], Gwehenberger [7], Cohen [3]). If f is exceptional, then f is a permutation polynomial.
- (ii) (Davenport and Lewis [4], Bombieri and Davenport [2], Tietäväinen [13], Hayes [8], Wan [14]). There exist c_1, c_2, \ldots such that for any separable $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree n we have: If $q \geqslant c_n$ and f is a permutation polynomial, then f is exceptional.
- (iii) (Williams [15]) If q is a fixed prime, large compared with n, say q ≥ q₀(n), and ρ = O(1) (that is, ρ depends only on n, but not on q), then f is exceptional (hence, by (i), a permutation polynomial).
 - (iv) (von zur Gathen and Kaltofen [6], and Kaltofen [9]) There is a probabilistic test whether f is exceptional using a number of operations in \mathbb{F}_q that is polynomial in $n \log q$.

We will establish quantitative versions of Facts (ii) and (iii). The proof follows the lines of Williams' argument; a central ingredient is, as in Williams' and Wan's work, Weil's theorem on the number of rational points of an algebraic curve over a finite field.

THEOREM 1. Let $n \ge 1$, $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ separable of degree n, V(f) the number of values of f, $\rho = q - V(f)$, and $0 < \varepsilon \le 8$.

- (i) If $q \ge n^4$ and f is a permutation polynomial, then f is exceptional.
- (ii) If q ≥ ε⁻²n⁴ and σ is the number of absolutely irreducible factors of f* in F_q[x, y], then ρ > (σ ε)q/n.

PROOF: Since any linear polynomial is a permutation polynomial and exceptional (that is, $\sigma = 0$), we may assume that $n \ge 2$. For $1 \le i \le n$, let

$$R_i = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_q : \#(f^{-1}(\{a\})) = i\}$$

be the set of points with exactly i preimages under f, and $r_i = \#R_i$. Then $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} R_i =$

 $f(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is a partition, and

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le n} r_i = q - \rho,$$

(2)
$$\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}ir_i=q.$$

Subtracting (1) from (2), we find

(3)
$$\sum_{2 \le i \le n} (i-1)r_i = \rho.$$

Let

$$S = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 : a \neq b, f(a) = f(b)\},\$$

and s = #S. We map every $(a, b) \in S$ to $c = f(a) \in \bigcup_{2 \le i \le n} R_i$; every $c \in R_i$ with $i \ge 2$ has exactly i(i-1) preimages under this map. Together with (3), this shows that

$$(4) n\rho\geqslant \sum_{2\leqslant i\leqslant n}i(i-1)r_i=s.$$

We may assume that f is not exceptional, and it is sufficient to prove $\rho > 0$ if $q \ge n^4$ for (i), and $\rho n > (\sigma - \varepsilon)q$ if $q \ge \varepsilon^{-2}n^4$ for (ii). We write $f^* = h_1 \cdots h_\sigma h_{\sigma+1} \cdots h_\tau$, with $h_1, \ldots, h_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$ irreducible, and h_i absolutely irreducible if and only if $i \le \sigma$. We have $\sigma \ge 1$.

Let K be an algebraic closure of \mathbf{F}_q , and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \tau$ let

$$\overline{X}_i = \{(a, b) \in K^2 : h_i(a, b) = 0\}$$

be the curve defined by h_i , $X_i = \overline{X}_i \cap \mathbb{F}_q^2$ its rational points, $n_i = \deg h_i$, and $X = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq \tau} X_i$. We observe that f(x) - f(y) is squarefree, since for a factor h^2 one finds, by differentiating, that h divides $\gcd(f'(x), f'(y)) = 1$. In particular, x - y does not divide f^* , and if $\Delta \subseteq K^2$ is the diagonal, then $\overline{X}_i \neq \Delta$ for all i. Then

(5)
$$n-1 = \deg f^* \cdot \deg \Delta \geqslant \#(\overline{X} \cap \Delta) \geqslant \#(X \cap \Delta),$$

by Bezout's theorem. Similarly,

$$n_i n_j \geqslant \#(\overline{X}_i \cap \overline{X}_j) \geqslant \#(X_i \cap X_j)$$

for $1 \le i < j \le \tau$. Furthermore, by Weil's Theorem (see Lidl and Niederreiter [11, p.331]) we have

$$\#X_i \geqslant q+1-\left((n_i-1)(n_i-2)q^{1/2}+n_i^2\right)$$

for $1 \le i \le \sigma$. Together, we obtain

(6)
$$\#X \geqslant \# \bigcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \sigma} X_i \geqslant \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \sigma} \#X_i - \sum_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant \sigma} \#(X_i \cap X_j)$$

$$> \sigma q - \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \sigma} \left((n_i - 1)(n_i - 2)q^{1/2} + n_i^2 \right) - \sum_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant \sigma} n_i n_j.$$

The maximum value of $\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant \sigma}(n_i-1)(n_i-2)$ with $\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant \sigma}n_i\leqslant n-1$ and $1\leqslant n_1,\ldots,n_{\sigma}$ is achieved at $(n_1,\ldots,n_{\sigma})=(n-\sigma,1,\ldots,1)$, where it equals $(n-\sigma-1)(n-\sigma-2)\leqslant (n-2)(n-3)$. Adding the terms n_i^2 into the last sum, we find again that $\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant \sigma}n_in_j$ reaches, under the given conditions, its maximum at the

same $(n_1, \ldots, n_{\sigma})$. Its value there is $(n-\sigma)^2 + (\sigma-1)(n-\sigma) + (\sigma-1)\sigma/2$. This function achieves its maximum $(n-1)^2$ at $\sigma=1$.

Since $X \setminus (X \cap \Delta) \subseteq S$, we have from these estimates and (4), (5), and (6)

(7)
$$n\rho \geqslant s \geqslant \#X - (n-1)$$
$$> \sigma q - (n-2)(n-3)q^{1/2} - (n-1)^2 - (n-1).$$

To prove (i), it is sufficient to have the right hand side of (7) nonnegative. This is clearly the case for $n \leq q^{1/4}$, since $\sigma \geq 1$. To prove (ii), we note that

$$0 \geqslant u \left(-5\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^2 + (6+\varepsilon)u - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \right) \text{ for } u \geqslant \delta = \frac{6+\varepsilon + \sqrt{36-8\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2}}{10\sqrt{\varepsilon}}.$$

Using this for $u=q^{1/4}$, assuming $q \ge \varepsilon^{-2}n^4$ (which implies $u \ge 2\varepsilon^{-1/2} \ge \delta$), and using (7), we have

$$egin{split} n
ho &> \sigma q - \Big((n-2)(n-3)q^{1/2} + n(n-1)\Big) \ &\geqslant \sigma q - \Big(arepsilon q + \Big(-5\sqrt{arepsilon}q^{3/4} + 6q^{1/2} + arepsilon q^{1/2} - \sqrt{arepsilon}q^{1/4}\Big)\Big) \ &\geqslant (\sigma - arepsilon)q. \end{split}$$

COROLLARY 2. Let $n \ge 1$, $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ separable of degree n, V(f) the number of values of f, $\rho = q - V(f)$, and assume that $q \ge 4n^4$.

- (i) If σ is the number of absolutely irreducible factors of f^* in $\mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$, then $\rho > (\sigma 1/2)q/n$.
- (ii) If $\rho \leqslant q/2n$, then f is a permutation polynomial.

PROOF: (i) Set $\varepsilon = 1/2$ in (ii) of the Theorem. (ii) If f is not a permutation polynomial, then it is not exceptional (Fact (i)); hence $\sigma \ge 1$ and $\rho > q/2n$ by (i).

In various statements (the numbering of which is indicated below) of Lidl and Niederreiter [11], we can replace "there exist c_1, c_2, \ldots such that for all $q \ge c_n$ " by "for all $q \ge n^4$ "; we refer to their text for a complete bibliography.

COROLLARY 3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geqslant 1$, \mathbf{F}_q a finite field with q elements, and assume $q \geqslant n^4$.

- (i) (Corollary 7.30) Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is separable of degree n. Then f is a permutation polynomial if and only if f is exceptional.
- (ii) (Theorem 7.31) Suppose that gcd (n, q) = 1 and F_q contains an nth root of unity, different from 1. Then there is no permutation polynomial of F_q with degree n.
- (iii) (Corollary 7.32) Suppose that n is positive and even, and gcd(n, q) = 1. Then there is no permutation polynomial of \mathbf{F}_q with degree n.
- (iv) (Corollary 7.33) Suppose that gcd(n, q) = 1. Then there exists a permutation polynomial of \mathbb{F}_q with degree n if and only if gcd(n, q 1) = 1.

We obtain a probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm to test whether a given polynomial $f \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ of degree n is a permutation polynomial, as follows. We first note that any $u \in \mathbf{F}_q$ has exactly one preimage under f (that is, $\#f^{-1}(\{u\}) = 1$) if and only if $\gcd(x^q - x, f - u)$ is linear. Calculating $x^q - x \mod f - u$ by repeated squaring takes $O^{\sim}(n \log q)$ operations, and the gcd calculation then $O^{\sim}(n)$ operations in \mathbf{F}_q (Aho, Hopcroft and Ullman [1, Section 8.9]). (The "soft O" notation $O^{\sim}(m)$ means $O\left(m \log^k m\right)$ for some fixed k, thus ignoring factors $\log m$.) If $q < 4n^4$, we test for each $u \in \mathbf{F}_q$ whether it has one (or at least one) preimage under f. This costs $O^{\sim}(nq)$ or $O^{\sim}(n^5)$ operations in \mathbf{F}_q .

If $q \ge 4n^4$, we have the following probabilistic algorithm, with a confidence parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ as further input. We choose $k = \lceil 2n\log_e \varepsilon^{-1} \rceil$ elements $u \in \mathbf{F}_q$ independently at random, and test whether u has exactly one preimage under f. If this is not the case for some u, then f is not a permutation polynomial. If it is true for all u tested, then we declare f to be a permutation polynomial. It may of course happen that f is not a permutation polynomial and this test answers incorrectly; the probability of this event is at most

$$\left(\frac{q-\rho}{q}\right)^k < \left(\frac{q-\frac{q}{2n}}{q}\right)^{2n\cdot k/2n} < \left(e^{-1}\right)^{k/2n} \leqslant \varepsilon,$$

by Corollary 2 (ii). The cost is $k \gcd$'s or $O^{\sim}(n\log \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot n\log q)$ operations in \mathbb{F}_q .

This test is conceptually much simpler than the one in von zur Gathen [5]; however, that test is more efficient, using only $O^{\sim}(n \log \varepsilon^{-1})$ operations (if $\varepsilon \leq q^{-1}$).

REFERENCES

- A.V. Aho, J.E. Hopcroft and J.D. Ullman, The design and analysis of computer algorithms (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1974).
- [2] E. Bombieri and H. Davenport, 'On two problems of Mordell', Amer. J. Math. 88 (1966), 61-70.
- [3] S.D. Cohen, 'The distribution of polynomials over finite fields', Acta Arith. 17 (1970), 255-271.
- [4] H. Davenport and D.J. Lewis, 'Notes on congruences (I)', Quart. J. Math. Oxford 14 (1963), 51-60.
- [5] J. von zur Gathen, 'Tests for permutation polynomials', SIAM J. Comput. (to appear).
- [6] J. von zur Gathen and E. Kaltofen, 'Factorization of multivariate polynomials over finite fields', Math. Comp. 45 (1985), 251-261.
- [7] G. Gwehenberger, Über die Darstellung von Permutationen durch Polynome und rationale Funktionen, PhD thesis (TH Wien, 1970).
- [8] D.R. Hayes, 'A geometric approach to permutation polynomials over a finite field', Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), 293-305.
- [9] E. Kaltofen, 'Fast parallel absolute irreducibility testing', J Symbolic Comput. 1 (1985), 57-67.
- [10] R. Lidl and G.L. Mullen, 'When does a polynomial over a finite field permute the elements of the field', Amer. Math. Monthly 95 (1988), 243-246.
- [11] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Finite fields: Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 20 (Addison-Wesley, Reading MA, 1983).
- [12] C.R. MacCluer, 'On a conjecture of Davenport and Lewis concerning exceptional polynomials', Acta Arith. 12 (1967), 289-299.
- [13] A. Tietäväinen, 'On non-residues of a polynomial', Ann. Univ. Turku Ser. A 94 (1966).
- [14] D. Wan, 'On a conjecture of Carlitz', J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 43 (1987), 375-384.
- [15] K.S. Williams, 'On extremal polynomials', Canad. Math. Bull. 10 (1967), 585-594.
- [16] K.S. Williams, 'On exceptional polynomials', Canad. Math. Bull. 11 (1968), 279-282.

Department of Computer Science University of Toronto Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A4 Canada